

1 CROCKETT & ASSOCIATES  
2 Robert D. Crockett (SBN 105628)  
3 *bob@bobcrockettlaw.com*  
4 Brian D. Walters (SBN 227435)  
5 *waltersb@bobcrockettlaw.com*  
6 Jackie K. M. Levien (SBN 301239)  
7 *levienj@bobcrockettlaw.com*  
8 Chase T. Tajima (SBN 304063)  
9 *chase@bobcrockettlaw.com*  
10 23929 Valencia Boulevard, Suite 303  
11 Valencia, California 91355  
12 Tel: (323) 487-1101  
13 Fax: (323) 843-9711

14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

15  
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
17 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
18

19 DOE 1, an individual; DOE 2, an  
20 individual; DOE 3, an individual; DOE  
21 4, an individual; Doe 5, an individual;  
22 and DOE 6, an individual,

23 Plaintiffs,  
24 v.

25 SUPERIOR COURT OF  
26 CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF  
ORANGE, a California public entity,

27 Defendant.

28 CASE NO.: 8:18-cv-01499

29  
30 **PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF  
PENDENCY OF OTHER ACTIONS  
OR PROCEEDINGS**

31  
32 Pursuant to Local Rule 83-1.4, Plaintiffs hereby file this Notice that *Roe 1*  
33 vs. *Defendant Doe 1, Congregation, et al.*, Case No. 30-2014-00741722-CU-PO-  
34 CJC, is pending before Superior Court of California for the County of Orange,  
35 which is the Defendant in this action.

36 The plaintiffs in that action are John Roe 1 and John Roe 2. The defendants  
37 in that action are Doe 1 Congregation, Doe 2 Supervisory Organization, Doe 3

1 Perpetrator. The parties in that action are proceeding under fictitious names.  
2 Plaintiffs Roe 1 and Roe 2 are suing Defendant Does 1 through 3 for damages for  
3 sexual abuse. During discovery, Defendant Doe 2 Supervisory Organization was  
4 ordered by the Superior Court of Orange County, Defendant in this action, to  
5 produce certain documents that show other instances of sexual abuse or potential  
6 sexual abuse relating to individuals who are not the subject of the *Roe 1 v. Doe 1*  
7 lawsuit. These documents will show the names and congregations of family  
8 members of victims or perpetrators to sexual abuse. The production of these  
9 documents, even subject to a protective order, will violate the constitutionally  
10 protected privacy rights of the Plaintiffs in this action that could lead to the  
11 identification of Plaintiffs and their family members, subjecting them to public  
12 scrutiny, media inquiry, and unwanted litigation; Plaintiffs here seek an order from  
13 the district court enjoining the enforcement of the Superior Court of Orange  
14 County's production order or a modification of the production order to allow for  
15 reasonable redaction of information that could lead to the violation of Plaintiffs'  
16 privacy rights. Accordingly, the facts in *Roe 1 v. Doe 1* constitute a material part of  
17 the subject matter of this action.

18 Date: August 23, 2018

19 Respectfully submitted,

20 CROCKETT & ASSOCIATES

21 By /s/ Robert D. Crockett  
22 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

23  
24 4838-8515-5181, v. 1

25

26

27

28